


Claims 8 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 8 and 9 as previously presented were proper product by process claims since the claims to which they referred were embodied in this application, even if non-elected. These claims have been rewritten to include therein the subject matter of the claims to which they referred. Since claims 8 and 9 are properly in this application and refer to the process of the non-elected claims, it would appear prudent to withdraw the requirement for restriction.

Since claims 8 and 9 have not been rejected on prior art and are not indefinite, reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,


Jay M. Cantor

Reg. No. 19906

(202) 639-7713